Ministry of the Ministère de l'Environnement Environment, de la Protection de la nature et Conservation and Parks des Parcs Drinking Water amd Division de la conformité en Environmental d'eau potable et d'environnement Direction Compliance Division régionale du Centre-Ouest West Central Region Bureau de district de Guelph Guelph District Office 1, chemin Stone ouest 1 Stone Road West 4 e étage 4th Floor Guelph (Ontario) N1G 4Y2 Guelph, Ontario N1G Tél.: 519 826-4255 4Y2 Tel.: 519 826-4255 Téléc: 519 826-4286 Fax: 519 826-4286

Ontario 😵

July 4, 2022

Township of North Wellington 7480 Sideroad 7 W, PO Box 125 Kenilworth, Ontario N0G 2E0 \*\*sent via email\*\*

Attention: Matthew Aston, Director of Operations

#### **Re: Mount Forest Wastewater Treatment Plant Inspection Report**

On June 2, 2022, the Mount Forest Wastewater Treatment Plant was inspected by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.

Please find attached a copy of the Inspection Report #1-1V1150.

If you wish to discuss the results of the inspection in more detail, please feel free to contact me at (519) 837-7457.

Yours truly,

Carola Serwotka Provincial Officer Drinking Water and Environmental Compliance Division Guelph District Office West Central Region

c.c.

Don Irvine, Senior Operations Manager, OCWA (email) Melissa Irvine, PCT, OCWA (email) Saugeen CA (email), Guelph District Office, File: SI WE WN CO Electronic File

Signature: (ProvIndia//Officer)





Mount Forest Water Pollution Control Plant 651 CORK ST, WELLINGTON NORTH, ON, NOG 2E0

# **Inspection Report**

System Number: 120001381 Entity: **ONTARIO CLEAN WATER** AGENCY THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF WELLINGTON NORTH Inspection Start Date: 06/02/2022 Inspection End Date: 06/23/2022 Inspected By: Carola Serwotka Badge #: 808

Signature: (Prov(ncial/Officer)



We want to hear from you. How was my service? You can provide feedback at 1-888-745-8888 or <u>Ontario.ca/inspectionfeedback</u>



## **NON-COMPLIANCE/NON-CONFORMANCE ITEMS**

This should not be construed as a confirmation of full compliance with all potential applicable legal requirement and BMPs. These inspection findings are limited to the components and/or activities that were assessed, and the legislative framework(s) that were applied. It remains the responsibility of the owner to ensure compliance with all applicable legislative and regulatory requirements.

If you have any questions related to this inspection, please contact the signed Provincial Officer.



# **INSPECTION DETAILS**

This section includes all questions that were assessed during the inspection.

#### Ministry Program: SEWAGE | Regulated Activity:

Question ID	940010	Question Type	Information	
Question:				
What was the scope of this in	spection?			
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		
Observation				
The primary focus of this insp Environment, Conservation a conformance with ministry po wastewater treatment system Resources Act (OWRA) and therein. This inspection has b Section 156 of the EPA. This legislation and regulations we ensure compliance with all ap inspection of the Mount Fores and a senior manager from C WPCP with a design capacity activated sludge (WAS) is sto Arthur WPCP. Sludge treatm 3,951 m3. Final effluent is treat the South Saugeen River and bypass/overflow wastewater in	nd Parks (MECP) legis dicies and guidelines du is subject to the legisla the Environmental Prote- been conducted pursual inspection report does are evaluated. It remain oplicable legislative and st WPCP took place on OCWA. This conventiona- ored and processed on hent is by five aeration t eated with sand filtration d eventually Lake Huror is treated with chlorinat	lation as well as evuluing the inspection ative requirements ection Act (EPA) and not suggest that all is the responsibility regulatory require June 2, 2022 with al activated sludge s constructed in 20 site. WAS is also re tanks and has a tota n and UV disinfection n. When high flow ion.	valuating operiod. This of the Ontario Water of the Ontario Water and regulations made the OWRA and applicable of the owner to ments. The physical the main operator plant is a Class II 008. The waste eceived from the al storage volume of on and discharges to	

This plant is undergoing a review for re-rating of the design capacity.

The inspection period was June 1, 2017 to June 2, 2022.

Question ID	940100	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			
Does a valid Environmental Compliance Approval(s) exist for the facility?			
Legislative RequirementOWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);			
Observation			



The owner had a valid Environmental Compliance Approval for the sewage works. Certificate of Approval #6134-73FHHU was issued June 19, 2007 for the Mount Forest WPCP and the North Street Pumping Station (old sewage treatment plant).

Question ID	940300	Question Type	Information		
Question:	Question:				
Are there any orders or other control documents that have requirements outside of (or differing from) the Environmental Compliance Approval requirements?					
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable				
Observation					
The facility has Orders or other control documents that have requirements outside of the ECA. There are three other pumping stations and associated Certificates of Approval (CofA): Cork Street - #2342-82GPAM (includes Generator) Durham Street - #1899-873P7E (includes Generator) Perth Street - #1843-98-006 (no Generator)					

Question ID	940750	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			

If there were any new works installed, has the owner of the sewage works prepared a written statement certified by a Professional Engineer confirming that the proposed works were constructed in accordance with the Environmental Compliance Approval?

Legislative Requirement	OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);
Observation	

#### Observation

The owner of the sewage works had prepared a written statement certified by a Professional Engineer confirming that the proposed works were constructed in accordance with the Environmental Compliance Approval.

Question ID	941201	Question Type	Legislative	
Question:				
Has the owner ensured that all equipment/components associated with the works have been installed in accordance with the Environmental Compliance Approval?				
Legislative Requirement	Requirement         OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1);           OWRA   53   (2);         OWRA   53   (2);			



#### Observation

The owner had ensured that all equipment/components associated with the works was installed in accordance with the Environmental Compliance Approval.

Question ID	940451	Question Type	BMP		
Question:					
Was the annual average daily	Was the annual average daily flow below 80% of the rated capacity of the sewage works?				
Legislative Requirement	islative Requirement Not Applicable				
Observation					
The annual average daily flow works. According to the 2021 annual average was 1,990 m Also, in summary: 2020 - 1,937 m3/d or 69 % of 2019 - 1,960 m3/d or 70 % of 2018 - 2,043 m3/d or 73 % of 2017 - 2,234 m3/d or 84 % of 2016 - 1,948 m3/d or 69 % of 2015 - 1,746 m3/d or 62 % of	Mount Forest WPCP / 3/d or 70 % of the desig 2,818 m3/d. 2,818 m3/d. 2,818 m3/d. 2,818 m3/d. 2,818 m3/d. 2,818 m3/d.	Annual Performanc	ce report, the 2021		

Question ID	940800	Question Type	Legislative		
Question:	Question:				
Are the flow measuring devices installed, calibrated and maintained in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Compliance Approval?					
Legislative Requirement	OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);				
Observation					
Flow measuring devices were installed, calibrated and maintained in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Compliance Approval. Flow monitoring devices are calibrated annually.					

Question ID	941100	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			

Are all monitoring equipment other than flow monitoring devices installed, calibrated and maintained in accordance with any Environmental Compliance Approval?



Legislative Requirement	OWRA   16; OWRA	16.1; OWRA   16.2	; OWRA   53   (1);
Observation	OWRA   53   (2);		
Observation			
All monitoring equipment othe maintained in accordance wit	•		
Question ID	941950	Question Type	Information
Question:			
Was the sewage works in cor parameters listed in the Envir	•		
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable		
Observation			
The sewage works was in corparameters reviewed.	mpliance with the conc	entration limits/crite	eria for all
Question ID	942050	Question Type	Legislative
Question: Was the sewage works in cor or criteria listed in the Enviror Guidelines?	•		
Legislative Requirement	OWRA   53   (2);		
Observation			
The sewage works was in cor or criteria during the review p	•		
Question ID	942150	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			
Was the sewage works in cor concentration limits or criteria Order, or Ministry Guidelines	listed in by the Enviror	•	
Legislative Requirement	EPA   186   (2); OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);		
Observation			



The sewage works was in compliance with the effluent total suspended solids concentration limits or criteria during the review period. The TSS concentration limit is 12.5 mg/L.

Question ID	942250	Question Type	Legislative
-------------	--------	---------------	-------------

#### Question:

Was sewage works in compliance with the effluent total phosphorous concentration limits or criteria listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval, an Order, or Ministry Guidelines?

Legislative RequirementOWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRAOWRA   53   (2);	16.2; OWRA   53   (1);
---	------------------------

# Observation

The sewage works was in compliance with the effluent total phosphorous concentration limits or criteria during the review period. The TP concentration limit is 0.37 mg/L.

Question ID942450	Question Type	Legislative
-------------------	---------------	-------------

#### Question:

Was the sewage works in compliance with the effluent total ammonia/total ammonianitrogen (TAN) concentration limits listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval or an Order?

Legislative Requirement         OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1)           OWRA   53   (2);         OWRA   53   (2);	);
--	----

#### Observation

The sewage works was in compliance with the effluent total ammonia/total ammonianitrogen concentration limits or criteria during the review period. The TAN concentration limit is 6.0 mg/L from December 1 to April 30 and 2.5 mg/L from May 1 to November 30.

Question ID	942570	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			
Was the sewage works in compliance with the effluent pH concentration limits listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval or an Order?			
Legislative Requirement         OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);			
Observation			
The sewage works was in compliance with the effluent pH limits listed in the ECA or Order during the review period. The pH of the effluent must be maintained between 6.0 to 9.0,			



inclusive.

Question ID	942520	Question Type	Legislative	
Question: Was the sewage works in compliance with the effluent microbiological concentration limits listed in by the Environmental Compliance Approval or Order?				
Legislative Requirement	OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);			
Observation				
The sewage works was in compliance with the effluent microbiological limits listed in the ECA or Order during the review period. The E.coli limit is 200 counts/100 mL based on the monthly geometric mean density.				
Question ID	941970	Question Type	Information	
Question:			l	
Was the sewage works in compliance with the effluent loading limits for all parameters listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval or Order?				
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable			
Observation				
The sewage works was in compliance with the loading limits for all parameters reviewed.				
Question ID	942070	Question Type	Legislative	
Question: Was the sewage works in compliance with the effluent BOD5 or CBOD5 loading limit listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval or an Order?				
Legislative Requirement				
Observation				
The sewage works was in compliance with the effluent BOD5 or CBOD5 loading limit during the review period. The cBOD5 loading limit is 35 kg/d.				
Question ID	942170	Question Type	Legislative	



# Question: Was the sewage works in compliance with the effluent total suspended solids loading limit listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval or an Order. Legislative Requirement OWRA | 16; OWRA | 16.1; OWRA | 16.2; OWRA | 53 | (1); OWRA | 53 | (2); Observation The sewage works was in compliance with the effluent total suspended solids loading limit during the review period. The TSS loading limit is 35 kg/d.

Question ID942270Question TypeLegislative
---

#### Question:

Was the sewage works in compliance with the effluent total phosphorous loading limit listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval or an Order?

OWKA   33   (2),	•	A   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); A   53   (2);
------------------	---	---

## Observation

The sewage works was in compliance with the effluent total phosphorous loading limit during the review period. The TP loading limit is 1.05 kg/d.

Question ID	942480	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			
Was the sewage works in compliance with the effluent total ammonia/total ammonia- nitrogen (TAN) loading limit listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval or an Order?			
Legislative Requirement OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);			
Observation			
The sewage works was in compliance with the effluent total ammonia/TAN loading limits during the review period. The TAN loading limit is 17.0 kg/d from December 1 to April 30 and 7.0 kg/d from May 1 to November 30.			

	Question ID	942851-1	Question Type	Information
--	-------------	----------	---------------	-------------

#### Question:

Was the sewage works in conformance with the concentration and effluent loading objectives for all parameters listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval or Ministry Order?



Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable		
Observation	L		
The sewage works was in conformance with all effluent parameters for concentration and/or loading objectives during the review period.			
Question ID	942810	Question Type	BMP
Question: Was the sewage works in conformance with the effluent BOD5 or CBOD5 concentration and loading objectives listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval or Order?			
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable		
Observation			
The sewage works was in conformance with effluent BOD5 or CBOD5 concentration and loading objectives during the review period. The cBOD5 concentration objective is 6.0 mg/L and the cBOD5 loading objective is 17 kg/d.			
Question ID	942820	Question Type	BMP
Question ID Question: Was the sewage works in cor concentration and loading obj Order?	nformance with the efflu	uent total suspende	ed solids
Question: Was the sewage works in cor concentration and loading obj	nformance with the efflu	uent total suspende	ed solids
Question: Was the sewage works in cor concentration and loading obj Order?	nformance with the efflu jectives listed in the En	uent total suspende	ed solids
Question: Was the sewage works in cor concentration and loading obj Order? Legislative Requirement	nformance with the efflu jectives listed in the En Not Applicable nformance with effluent the review period. The	uent total suspende vironmental Comp	ed solids liance Approval or olids concentration
Question:         Was the sewage works in corr         concentration and loading objorder?         Legislative Requirement         Observation         The sewage works was in corr         and loading objectives during	nformance with the efflu jectives listed in the En Not Applicable nformance with effluent the review period. The	uent total suspende vironmental Comp	ed solids liance Approval or olids concentration
Question:         Was the sewage works in corr         concentration and loading objorder?         Legislative Requirement         Observation         The sewage works was in corr         and loading objectives during         mg/L and the TSS loading objectives	nformance with the efflu jectives listed in the En Not Applicable nformance with effluent the review period. The jective is 28.2 kg/d. 942830	uent total suspende vironmental Comp t total suspended s e TSS concentration Question Type	ed solids liance Approval or olids concentration on objective is 10.0 BMP

The sewage works was in compliance with the effluent total phosphorous concentration and



loading objectives during the review period. The TP concentration objective is 3.0 mg/L and the cBOD5 loading objective is 0.85 kg/d.

Question ID	942850	Question Type	BMP
Question:			
Was the sewage works in conformance with the effluent total ammonia/total ammonia nitrogen concentration and loading objectives listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval or an Order?			
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable		
Observation			
The sewage works was in conformance with the effluent total ammonia/total ammonia- nitrogen effluent concentration/loading objectives during the review period. The TAN concentration objective is 4.0 mg/L and the TAN loading objective is 11.3 kg/d for December 1 to April 30. The TAN concentration objective is 1.5 mg/L and the TAN loading objective is 4.2 kg/d for May 1 to November 30.			

Question ID	942870	Question Type	BMP
Question:	estion:		
Was the sewage works in conformance with the effluent microbiological parameter objectives listed in the Environmental Compliance Approval or an Order?			
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable		
Observation			
The sewage works was in conformance with the effluent microbiological objectives during the review period. The E.coli concentration objective is 100 counts/100 mL based on the monthly geometric mean density.			
Question ID	949200	Question Type	Information

# Question:

Did the sewage works report less than two occurrences of non-compliance or nonconformance with final effluent parameter in the previous four years?

Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable
Observation	



The sewage works final effluent parameters had less than two occurrences of noncompliance/non conformance during the review period.

Question ID	949300	Question Type	Information	
Question: Did the sewage works report less than two occurrences of non-compliance with final effluent parameter concentration limits in the previous four years?				
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		
Observation				
The sewage works final effluent concentration parameters had less than two occurrences or non-compliance during the review period. There were no exceedences in 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 to 2021.				
Question ID	949400	Question Type	Information	
Question:		L		
Did the sewage works report less than two occurrences of non-compliance with final effluent parameter loading limits in the previous four years?			nce with final	
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable			
Observation				
The sewage works final effluent loading parameters had less than two occurrences of non- compliance during the review period. There were no exceedences in 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 to 2021.				
Question ID	949600	Question Type	Information	
Question:	545000	Question Type	Information	
Did the sewage works report less than ten occurrences of non-conformance with final effluent parameter concentration objectives in the previous four years?				
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable			
Observation				
The sewage works final effluent objective parameters had less than ten occurrences of non conformance during the review period. There were no exceedences in 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 to 2021.				



Overetien ID	040050	Owertien Turne	
Question ID	943650	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			
Were all sewage works influe Environmental Compliance A		ge) requirements p	rescribed by the
Legislative Requirement	OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);		
Observation			
All sewage works influent (raw sewage) sampling requirements prescribed by the Environmental Compliance Approval were met.		bed by the	

Question ID	943500	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			
Were all sewage works efflue Compliance Approval being m	1 0 1	nts prescribed by th	ne Environmental
Legislative Requirement	OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);		
Observation			
All sewage works effluent san Compliance Approval were m		escribed by the Env	vironmental

Question ID	944050 Question Type Legislative		Legislative
Question:			
Were all additional monitoring Approval being met?	requirements prescrib	ed by the Environn	nental Compliance
Legislative Requirement	OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);		
Observation			
All additional monitoring requirements prescribed by the Environmental Compliance Approval were met. The ECA requires additional influent monitoring on a weekly basis for BOD5, TSS, TP and TKN as a 24 hour composite.		•	

Question ID	944750	Question Type	Information
Question:			
Were there no bypasses or overflows events reported for the sewage works during the			



inspection period?			
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable		
Observation			
There were by-passes or ove inspection period. During the were 2 bypass/overflow event Street Pumping Station (the o 2017 and the second occurre	inspection period, betw ts that affected both the old sewage treatment p	ween June, 2017 a e Mount Forest WP lant). One event o	nd June, 2022, there CP and the North ccurred on June 23,
Question ID	944800	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			
For all bypasses/overflows wh owner/operator maintain a log Compliance Approval?			
Legislative Requirement	OWRA   16; OWRA   OWRA   53   (2);	16.1; OWRA   16.2	; OWRA   53   (1);
Observation			
The owner/operator maintaine occurred from any portion of t Compliance Approval.	5		
Question ID	944900	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			
For all bypasses/overflows wh collected and analyzed in acc		5	
Legislative Requirement	· · · · ·		
Observation			
For all bypasses/overflows wh collected and analyzed in acc		0	-
Question ID	945000	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			

For all bypasses/overflows which occurred from any portion of the sewage works, was



disinfection provided in accor	dance with the Environmental Compliance Approval?
Legislative Requirement	OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);
Observation	

#### Observation

Disinfection was provided in accordance with the Environmental Compliance Approval for all bypasses/overflows which occurred from any portion of the sewage works. There were two bypasses/overflows on the same day on January 22, 2020, during a significant wet weather event at the WPCP and the North Water Street pumping station. Chlorinated pucks were used to treat the wastewater before entering the outfall. There were two bypasses/overflows on the same day on June 23, 2017 due to a significant wet weather event. The bypasses/overflows also occurred at the WPCP and the North Water Street pumping station, both bypasses were chlorinated with chlorinated pucks.

Question ID	945100	Question Type	Legislative
Question:			
Were notices and written report occurred from any portion of the Compliance Approval?			
Legislative Requirement	OWRA   16; OWRA   16.1; OWRA   16.2; OWRA   53   (1); OWRA   53   (2);		
Observation			
Notices and written reports of all bypasses/overflows were provided to the Ministry in accordance with the Environmental Compliance Approval.			

Question ID	941700	Question Type	Information
Question:			
On the day of the inspection, substances?	was the sewage works	effluent essentially	/ free of foreign
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable		
Observation			
The sewage works effluent was inspection. The treated waster	•	5	

Question ID	941800	Question Type	Information
Question:			



On the day of inspection, was impact?	there no obvious evide	ence of groundwate	er/surface water	
Legislative Requirement	Not Applicable	Not Applicable		
Observation				
There was no obvious eviden works on the day of inspectio	•	urface water impac	t from the sewage	
Question ID	945790	Question Type	Legislative	
Question:				
If biosolids have been transported directly off site to land apply as NASM at an Ag operation under the NMA did the record(s) reviewed demonstrate compliance with NMA sampling requirements?				
Legislative Requirement	NMA   O. Reg. 267/03   93   (1); NMA   O. Reg. 267/03   93           (2); NMA   O. Reg. 267/03   93   (3); NMA   O. Reg. 267/03           93   (4); NMA   O. Reg. 267/03   95  ; NMA   O. Reg. 267/03           98; NMA   O. Reg. 267/03   98.0.2; NMA   O. Reg. 267/03           98.0.3; NMA   O. Reg. 267/03   98.0.4;			
Observation				
The sewage works met the N	MA sampling requirem	ents during the rev	iew period.	
Question ID	947250	Question Type	Legislative	

# Question:

Do only operators having the appropriate level of licence for wastewater treatment systems
make adjustments to the equipment?

Legislative Requirement	OWRA   O. Reg. 129/04   14-1   (1);
-------------------------	-------------------------------------

# Observation

Only licenced operators made adjustments to the treatment equipment.

Question ID	947300	Question Type	Legislative		
Question:					
Has the overall responsible operator been designated for the wastewater treatment facility?					
Legislative Requirement	OWRA   O. Reg. 129/04   15   (1);				
Observation					



The overall responsible operator had been designated for the wastewater treatment facility.

Question ID	948050	Question Type	Legislative		
Question:					
Do logs or other record keeping mechanisms for sewage works comply with the record keeping requirements?					
Legislative Requirement	OWRA   O. Reg. 129/04   19   (1); OWRA   O. Reg. 129/04   19   (2); OWRA   O. Reg. 129/04   19   (3); OWRA   O. Reg. 129/04   19   (4); OWRA   O. Reg. 129/04   19   (5); OWRA   O. Reg. 129/04   19   (6);				
Observation					
The logs and other record keeping mechanisms complied with the record keeping requirements. The operators use both written logsheets and electronic logsheets.					